## Table: Comparison of de-agglomeration tools, as provided from literature sources

De-agglomeration Tools	State of Nanoparticles (NPs)	Principle of Operation	Advantages	Disadvantages	Shear Energy Provided/ References
				Slow/ inefficient – ball milling may	
				take days in some cases.	
				Grinding motion can lead to	
Mills				significant breakdown of	
(to include ball,				nanoparticle architecture.	
stirred media,	Mainly			nanoparticle architecture.	
centrifugal and jet	suitable for dry/ wet	Involves ultrafine grinding		Can be difficult to clean;	
mills)	powders	process	Useful for large batches	contamination likely	Medium [3]
111113/	powders	The use of magnetic stir	Oserui for large battiles	Inefficient	Wedidii [5]
		bar or an overhead-		The training of the training o	
		stirring paddle, having		Rarely results in de-agglomeration	
		rotational speed that is		and often-employed in order to	
		sufficient to create a		improve homogeneity of	
		vortex. Overhead stirring	Rarely results in attrition or	dispersion.	
Stirring		has a much higher speed	breakage of nanoparticles	•	
(magnetic or		than the magnetic		Cannot prevent particles from	
overhead stirring)	NPs in liquid media	counterpart	Cheap/ affordable	aggregating or agglomerating.	Low. [4].
		The use of a rotor stator			
		generator probe; the			
		rotor acts as a centrifugal			
		pump to re-circulate the			
		liquid and suspends the			
		solids through the			
		generator, where it will			Unknown as never
		subjected to shear,			tested for
High speed Homogeniser	NPs in liquid media	impact collision and cavitations	Suitable for large liquid sample up to 2500 ml	Never tested for nanoparticle dispersion	nanoparticle dispersion
High Pressure		Shear and cavitations		Nanoparticle architecture can be	
Homogeniser	NPs in liquid media	provided via increase in	Highly efficient	altered; increase of temperature	High [4].

		the velocity of		in the dispersion likely	1
		the velocity of		in the dispersion likely.	
		pressurised liquid			
		streams in micro-		Expensive	
		channels			
				Bath format less effective (less	
				shear) compared to probe format.	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
				Can alter nanoparticle	
				architecture; increase in	
				temperature likely if dispersion is	
				sonicated for long period.	
		The use of ultrasound			
		waves and cavitations		Highly variable performance at	
		(i.e. the formation,		lower end of the market	
		growth and implosion of			
Ultrasound		bubbles in liquid) activity			
Sonicating Bath	NPs in liquid media	in a bath.	Cheap/ Affordable		Medium [4].
				Probe tip disintegration can	
				contaminate samples.	
				Can alter nanoparticle	
		Similar to ultrasonic bath		architecture; temperature	
		but aims to deliver more		increase (even for a few minutes)	
Ultrasound probe		energy density in smaller		in dispersion highly likely.	
sonication or		volume in comparison to			
ultrasonic		the corresponding bath		Highly variable performance at	
disruptor	NPs in liquid media	format	Highly efficient	lower end of the market.	High [4]
uisi uptor	THE STITTING AND THE COLO	Torrings	mgmy concient	TOTAL CITA OF SITE MIGHAEL	8 [-1